Bibliography

[1] Gerd Gigerenzer, Peter M. Todd, and Research Group Abc. Simple Heuristics That Make Us Smart. OUP Catalogue, Oxford University Press, 2000.

[2] Ori Plonsky, Ido Erev, Tamir Hazan, and Moshe Tennenholtz. Psychological Forest: Predicting Human Behavior. In *Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, February 2017.

[3] Jason L. Harman, John O'Donovan, Tarek Abdelzaher, and Cleotilde Gonzalez. Dynamics of Human Trust in Recommender Systems. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, RecSys '14, pages 305–308, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM. ISBN 978-1-4503-2668-1. event-place: Foster City, Silicon Valley, California, USA.

[4] Roy Fairstein, Adam Lauz, Reshef Meir, and Kobi Gal. Modeling peoples voting behavior with poll information.

[5] Timo Mennle, Michael Weiss, Basil Philipp, and Sven Seuken. The Power of Local Manipulation Strategies in Assignment Mechanisms. In *Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, June 2015.

[6] Stephan Lewandowsky and Simon Farrell. *Computational Modeling in Cognition: Principles and Practice*. SAGE Publications, November 2010. ISBN 978-1-4129-7076-1.

[7] Cleotilde Gonzalez, Javier F. Lerch, and Christian Lebiere. Instance-based learning in dynamic decision making. *Cognitive Science*, 27(4):591–635, 2003. ISSN 1551-6709.

[8] M.C. Lovett. Choice. In *The atomic components of thought*, pages 255–296. Routledge, June 1998.

[9] Francis Tuerlinckx. The efficient computation of the cumulative distribution and probability density functions in the diffusion model. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 36(4):702–716, 2004.

[10] Alonso Vera, Andrew Howes, Michael McCurdy, and Richard L. Lewis. A Constraint Satisfaction Approach to Predicting Skilled Interactive Cognition. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing*

Systems, CHI '04, pages 121–128, New York, NY, USA, 2004. ACM. ISBN 978-1-58113-702-6. event-place: Vienna, Austria.

[11] Susan L. Epstein. For the Right Reasons: The FORR Architecture for Learning in a Skill Domain. *Cognitive Science*, 18(3):479–511, 1994. ISSN 1551-6709.

[12] J. R. Anderson, D. Bothell, M. D. Byrne, S. Douglass, C. Lebiere, and Y. Qin. An integrated theory of the mind. *Psychol Rev*, 111(4):1036–1060, October 2004. ISSN 0033-295X.

[13] John E Laird, Allen Newell, and Paul S Rosenbloom. Soar: An architecture for general intelligence. Technical report, STANFORD UNIV CA DEPT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, 1986.

[14] J.N. Marewski and K. Mehlhorn. Using the ACT-R architecture to specify 39 quantitative process models of decision making. *Judgement and Decision Making*, 6(6):439–519, August 2011.

[15] Jaelle Scheuerman, K. B. Venable, M.T. Anderson, and Edward J. Golob. Modeling auditory spatial attention with an ai constraint-based approach. In *Cognitive Neuroscience Society 2016*, New York, NY, April 2016.

[16] Francesca Rossi, Peter van Beek, and Toby Walsh. *Handbook of Constraint Programming*. Elsevier, August 2006. ISBN 978-0-08-046380-3. Google-Books-ID: Kjap9ZWcKOoC.

[17] Roger Ratcliff, Philip L. Smith, Scott D. Brown, and Gail McKoon. Diffusion Decision Model: Current Issues and History. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 20 (4):260–281, April 2016. ISSN 1364-6613.

[18] Jaelle Scheuerman, K. Brent Venable, Maxwell T. Anderson, and Edward J. Golob. Modeling spatial auditory attention in ACT-R: a constraint-based approach. In *Postproceedings of the 9th Annual International Conference on Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures*, volume 145, pages 797–804, January 2018.

[19] Jaelle Scheuerman and Dina Acklin. Modeling bias reduction strategies in a biased agent. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, IJCAI'17, pages 5205–5206, Melbourne, Australia, August 2017. AAAI Press. ISBN 978-0-9992411-0-3.

[20] Jaelle Scheuerman, Dina Acklin, and Noelle Brown. Modeling decision making in a biased matchmaker task. 16th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling, Madison, WI, 2018.

[21] Gerd Gigerenzer and Wolfgang Gaissmaier. Heuristic decision making. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62(1):451–482, 2011.

[22] F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endriss, J. Lang, and A. D. Procaccia, editors. *Handbook of Computational Social Choice.* Cambridge University Press, 2016.

[23] Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Lane A. Hemaspaandra. Using complexity to protect elections. *Commun. ACM*, 53(11):74–82, November 2010. ISSN 0001-0782.

[24] J.-F. Laslier and M. R. Sanver, editors. *Handbook on Approval Voting.* Studies in Choice and Welfare. 2010.

[25] Jaelle Scheuerman, Jason L. Harman, Nicholas Mattei, and Kristen Brent Venable. Heuristics in multi-winner approval voting. Behavioral EC, Phoenix, AZ, 2019.

[26] Jaelle Scheuerman, Jason Harman, Nicholas Mattei, and K. Brent Venable. Heuristic strategies in uncertain approval voting environments. Proceedings of the International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS '20, Auckland, New Zealand, 2020.

[27] Dario D Salvucci. A model of eye movements and visual attention. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Modeling*, pages 252–259, 2000.

[28] Jelmer P. Borst and John R. Anderson. Using the ACT-R Cognitive Architecture in Combination With fMRI Data. In Birte U. Forstmann and Eric-Jan Wagenmakers, editors, *An Introduction to Model-Based Cognitive Neuroscience*, pages 339–352. Springer, New York, NY, 2015. ISBN 978-1-4939-2236-9.

[29] Shi Cao. Modeling and Predicting Mobile Phone Touchscreen Transcription Typing Using an Integrated Cognitive Architecture: International Journal of HumanComputer Interaction: Vol 34, No 6. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 34(6):544–556, 2018.

[30] Steven Ritter, John R. Anderson, Kennet R. Koedinger, and Albert Corbett. Cognitive tutor: Applied research in mathematics education. 14(2):249–255, 2007.

[31] J. Gregory Trafton, Laura M. Hiatt, Anthony M. Harrison, Franklin P. Tamborello, II, Sangeet S. Khemlani, and Alan C. Schultz. ACT-R/E: An Embodied Cognitive Architecture for Human-robot Interaction. *J. Hum.-Robot Interact.*, 2(1):30–55, February 2013. ISSN 2163-0364.

[32] Cleotilde Gonzalez and Varun Dutt. Instance-based learning: integrating sampling and repeated decisions from experience. *Psychol Rev*, 118(4):523–551, October 2011. ISSN 1939-1471.

[33] Lebiere, Christian and West, Robert L. A Dynamic ACT-R Model of Simple Games. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, Vancouver Canada, 1999.

[34] Herbert A Simon. A behavioral model of rational choice. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 69(1):99–118, 1955.

[35] Benjamin E Hilbig. Review of heuristics: The foundations of adaptive behavior. 2012.

[36] Victor DeMiguel, Lorenzo Garlappi, and Raman Uppal. Optimal versus naive diversification: How inefficient is the 1/n portfolio strategy? *The review of Financial studies*, 22(5):1915–1953, 2007.

[37] Julian N Marewski and Lael J Schooler. Cognitive niches: an ecological model of strategy selection. *Psychological review*, 118(3):393, 2011.

[38] Maria Wirzberger and Nele Russwinkel. Modeling Interruption and Resumption in a Smartphone Task: An ACT-R Approach. *Journal of Interactive Media*, 14 (2):147–154, 2015. ISSN 2196-6826.

[39] Edward J. Golob, K. Brent Venable, Jaelle Scheuerman, and Maxwell T. Anderson. Computational modeling of auditory spatial attention. In *Cog Sci 2017*, London, UK, July 2017.

[40] Jaelle Scheuerman, K. B. Venable, M.T. Anderson, and Edward J. Golob. Modeling spatial auditory attention: Handling equiprobable attended locations. In *Cognition and AI for Human Centred Design*, Melbourne, Australia, April 2017.

[41] Edward Golob, K. B. Venable, Maxwell T. Anderson, Jesse A. Benzell, and Jaelle Scheuerman. Modelling auditory spatial attention with soft constraints. 4th International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Cognition, New York, NY, 2016.

[42] G. R. Mangun and S. A. Hillyard. Spatial gradients of visual attention: behavioral and electrophysiological evidence. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 70(5):417–428, November 1988. ISSN 0013-4694.

[43] Allen Allport. Foundations of Cognitive Science. pages 631–682. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1989. ISBN 978-0-262-16112-1.

[44] P. M. Greenwood and Raja Parasuraman. Scale of attentional focus in visual search. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 61(5):837–859, January 1999. ISSN 1532-5962.

[45] Robert J. Zatorre, Todd A. Mondor, and Alan C. Evans. Auditory Attention to Space and Frequency Activates Similar Cerebral Systems. *NeuroImage*, 10 (5):544–554, November 1999.

[46] Emine Merve Kaya and Mounya Elhilali. A temporal saliency map for modeling auditory attention. In *Information Sciences and Systems (CISS), 2012 46th Annual Conference on*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2012.

[47] Todd S Braver. The variable nature of cognitive control: a dual mechanisms framework. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 16(2):106–113, 2012.

[48] Frdric Dehais, Mickal Causse, Franois Vachon, Nicolas Rgis, Eric Menant, and Sbastien Tremblay. Failure to Detect Critical Auditory Alerts in the Cockpit: Evidence for Inattentional Deafness. *Hum Factors*, 56(4):631–644, June 2014. ISSN 0018-7208.

[49] Judy Edworthy, Scott Reid, Sin McDougall, Jonathan Edworthy, Stephanie Hall, Danielle Bennett, James Khan, and Ellen Pye. The Recognizability and Localizability of Auditory Alarms: Setting Global Medical Device Standards. *Hum Factors*, 59(7):1108–1127, November 2017. ISSN 0018-7208.

[50] W. B. Pillsbury. *Attention.* Half-title: Library of philosophy. Ed. by J. H. Muirhead. S. Sonnenschein & Co., ltd. The Macmillan co., London, New York, 1908.

[51] Marvin M. Chun, Julie D. Golomb, and Nicholas B. Turk-Browne. A Taxonomy of External and Internal Attention. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62(1):73–101, 2011.

[52] Charles L. Folk, Roger W. Remington, and James C. Johnston. Involuntary covert orienting is contingent on attentional control settings. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 18(4):1030–1044, 1992. ISSN 1939-1277(Electronic),0096-1523(Print).

[53] Paul L. Wachtel. Conceptions of broad and narrow attention. *Psychological Bulletin*, 68(6):417–429, 1967. ISSN 1939-1455(Electronic),0033-2909(Print).

[54] Nilli Lavie. Distracted and confused?: Selective attention under load. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(2):75–82, February 2005. ISSN 1364-6613.

[55] Charles Spence and Jon Driver. Audiovisual links in exogenous covert spatial orienting. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 59(1):1–22, January 1997. ISSN 1532-5962.

[56] Chris Rorden and Jon Driver. Spatial deployment of attention within and across hemifields in an auditory task. *Exp Brain Res*, 137(3):487–496, April 2001. ISSN 1432-1106.

[57] Notger G. Mller, Maas Mollenhauer, Alexander Rsler, and Andreas Kleinschmidt. The attentional field has a Mexican hat distribution. *Vision Research*, 45(9):1129–1137, April 2005. ISSN 0042-6989.

[58] Serge Caparos and Karina J. Linnell. The spatial focus of attention is controlled at perceptual and cognitive levels. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 36(5):1080–1107, 2010. ISSN 1939-1277(Electronic),0096-1523(Print).

[59] N. Cowan. Evolving conceptions of memory storage, selective attention, and their mutual constraints within the human information-processing system. *Psychological Bulletin*, 104(2):163–191, September 1988. ISSN 0033-2909.

[60] Daniel Gall and Thom Frhwirth. Exchanging Conflict Resolution in an Adaptable Implementation of ACT-R. In *Theory and Practice of Logic Programming*, volume 14, pages 525–538. Cambridge, July 2014.

[61] Jeremy T. Scheuerman Jaelle Venable Kristen B. Mock Jeffrey R. Golob, Edward J. Nelson. Auditory spatial attention gradients and cognitive control as a function of vigilance. *Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics*, Submitted.

[62] Charles W Eriksen and James D St James. Visual attention within and around the field of focal attention: A zoom lens model. *Perception & psychophysics*, 40(4):225–240, 1986.

[63] Michael I Posner. Orienting of attention. *Quarterly journal of experimental psychology*, 32(1):3–25, 1980.

[64] Dan O Bahcall and Eileen Kowler. Attentional interference at small spatial separations. *Vision research*, 39(1):71–86, 1999.

[65] Florin Cutzu and John K Tsotsos. The selective tuning model of attention: psychophysical evidence for a suppressive annulus around an attended item. *Vision research*, 43(2):205–219, 2003.

[66] J-M Hopf, CN Boehler, SJ Luck, JK Tsotsos, H-J Heinze, and MA Schoenfeld. Direct neurophysiological evidence for spatial suppression surrounding the focus of attention in vision. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(4): 1053–1058, 2006.

[67] John K Tsotsos. Limited capacity of any realizable perceptual system is a sufficient reason for attentive behavior. *Consciousness and cognition*, 6(2-3): 429–436, 1997.

[68] Eric-Jan Wagenmakers, Han LJ Van Der Maas, and Raoul PPP Grasman. An ez-diffusion model for response time and accuracy. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, 14(1):3–22, 2007.

[69] Eric-Jan Wagenmakers, Raoul PPP Grasman, and Peter CM Molenaar. On the relation between the mean and the variance of a diffusion model response time distribution. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 49(3):195–204, 2005.

[70] Michael RW Dawson. Fitting the ex-gaussian equation to reaction time distributions. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 20(1):54–57, 1988.

[71] Jaelle Scheuerman and Dina Acklin. Modeling bias reduction strategies in a biased agent. Proceedings of the 2017 International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Melbourne, Australia, 2017.

[72] Jaelle Scheuerman, Dina Acklin, and Noelle Brown. An act-r model of biased decision making. Society for Judgement and Decision Making Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA, 2018.

[73] Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky. Subjective probability: A judgment of representativeness - ScienceDirect. *Cognitive Psychology*, 3(3):430–454, July 1972.

[74] David Arnott. Cognitive biases and decision support systems development: a design science approach. *Information Systems Journal*, 16(1):55–78, 2006. ISSN 1365-2575.

[75] Joseph A. Konstan and John Riedl. Recommender systems: from algorithms to user experience. *User Model User-Adap Inter*, 22(1):101–123, April 2012. ISSN 1573-1391.

[76] MICHAEL D. Byrne. ACT-R/PM and menu selection: applying a cognitive architecture to HCI. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 55(1): 41–84, July 2001. ISSN 1071-5819.

[77] David P. Biros, Joey F. George, and Robert W. Zmud. Inducing Sensitivity to Deception in Order to Improve Decision Making Performance: A Field Study. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2):119–144, December 2005.

[78] Fum, Danilo and Del Missier, Fabio. Adaptive selection of problem solving strategies. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, pages 313–318, Mahwah, NJ, 2001.

[79] David D. Bourgin, Joshua C. Peterson, Daniel Reichman, Stuart J. Russell, and Thomas L. Griffiths. Cognitive model priors for predicting human decisions. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 5133–5141, May 2019.

[80] Lebiere, Christian, Abdelzaher, Tarek, Hu, Shaohan, Gonzalez, Cleotilde, Buchler, Norbou, and Veksler, VLadislav D. Cognitive Models of Prediction as Decision Aids. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling*. University Park, PA: Penn State, 2016.

[81] Edward A. Cranford, Christian Lebiere, Cleotilde Gonzalez, Sarah Cooney, Phebe Vayanos, and Milind Tambe. Learning about Cyber Deception through Simulations: Predictions of Human Decision Making with Deceptive Signals in Stackelberg Security Games. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society*, 2018.

[82] Cleotilde Gonzalez, Pegah Fakhari, and Jerome Busemeyer. Dynamic Decision Making: Learning Processes and New Research Directions - Cleotilde Gonzalez, Pegah Fakhari, Jerome Busemeyer, 2017. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 59(5):713–721, May 2017.

[83] Robert Thomson, Christian Lebiere, John R. Anderson, and James Staszewski. A general instance-based learning framework for studying intuitive decision-making in a cognitive architecture | Elsevier Enhanced Reader. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 4(3):180–190, 2015.

[84] Wai-Tat Fu and John R. Anderson. From recurrent choice to skill learning: A reinforcement-learning model. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 135(2):184–206, 2006. ISSN 1939-2222(Electronic),0096-3445(Print).

[85] Stefani Nellen. The use of the the Take-the-Best heuristic under different conditions, modeled with ACT-R. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling*, pages 171–176, 2003.

[86] Michael Martin, Christian Lebiere, MaryAnne Fields, and Craig Lennon. Learning features while learning to classify: a cognitive model for autonomous systems. *Compututational and Math Organization Theory*, July 2018. ISSN 1572-9346.

[87] Dan Bothell. ACT-R 7 Reference Manual, July .

[88] Jaelle Scheuerman, Jason Harman, Nicholas Mattei, and K. Brent Venable. Heuristics and voting behavior in multi-winner approval voting. Society for Judgement and Decision Making Annual Conference 2019, Montreal, Canada, 2019.

[89] M. Tal, R. Meir, and Y. Gal. A study of human behavior in online voting. In *14th*, pages 665–673, 2015.

[90] Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman. Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, 185(4157):1124–1131, 1974.

[91] Gerd Gigerenzer and Peter M Todd. Fast and frugal heuristics: The adaptive toolbox. In *Simple heuristics that make us smart*, pages 3–34. Oxford University Press, 1999.

[92] R. Meir, A. D. Procaccia, J. S. Rosenschein, and A. Zohar. Complexity of strategic behavior in multi-winner elections. 33:149–178, 2008.

[93] Toby Walsh. Uncertainty in preference elicitation and aggregation. In *AAAI*, volume 7, pages 3–8, 2007.

[94] H. Aziz, S. Gaspers, J. Gudmundsson, S. Mackenzie, N. Mattei, and T. Walsh. Computational aspects of multi-winner approval voting. In *14th*, 2015.

[95] D. M. Kilgour. Approval balloting for multi-winner elections. In *Handbook on Approval Voting*, chapter 6. Springer, 2010.

[96] H. Aziz, S. Gaspers, N. Mattei, N. Narodytska, and T. Walsh. Ties matter: Complexity of manipulation when tie-breaking with a random vote. In *27th*, pages 74–80, 2013.

[97] N. Mattei, N. Narodytska, and T. Walsh. How hard is it to control an election by breaking ties? In *21st*, pages 1067–1068, 2014.

[98] S. Obraztsova, E. Elkind, and N. Hazon. Ties matter: Complexity of voting manipulation revisited. In *10th*, pages 71–78, 2011.

[99] Gerd Gigerenzer and Daniel G Goldstein. Reasoning the fast and frugal way: models of bounded rationality. *Psychological Review*, 103(4):650, 1996.

[100] Steven J Brams. Approval voting in multicandidate elections. *Policy Studies Journal*, 9(1):102, 1980.

[101] Steven J Brams. Strategic information and voting behavior. *Society*, 19(6): 4–11, 1982.

[102] R. G. Niemi. The problem of strategic behavior under approval voting. *The American Political Science Review*, 78(4):952–958, 1984.

[103] Ulle Endriss. Vote manipulation in the presence of multiple sincere ballots. In *Proc. 11th Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge (TARK)*, pages 125–134, 2007.

[104] R. Meir, A. D. Procaccia, and J. S. Rosenschein. A broader picture of the complexity of strategic behavior in multi-winner elections. In *7th*, pages 991–998, 2008.

[105] Eduard Brandstätter, Gerd Gigerenzer, and Ralph Hertwig. The priority heuristic: making choices without trade-offs. *Psychological review*, 113(2):409, 2006.

[106] Martin Zinkevich, Michael Johanson, Michael Bowling, and Carmelo Piccione. Regret minimization in games with incomplete information. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 1729–1736, 2008.

[107] Marcel Zeelenberg. Anticipated regret, expected feedback and behavioral decision making. *Journal of behavioral decision making*, 12(2):93–106, 1999.

[108] S. J. Brams and P. C. Fishburn. *Approval Voting*. 2nd edition, 2007.

[109] J.-F. Laslier and Ka Van der Straeten. A live experiment on approval voting. *Experimental Economics*, 11(1):97–105, 2008.

[110] A. Reijngoud and U. Endriss. Voter response to iterated poll information. In *11th*, pages 635–644, 2012.

[111] R. Meir, O. Lev, and J.S. Rosenschein. A local-dominance theory of voting equilibria. In *15th*, pages 313–330, 2014.

[112] R. Meir. *Strategic Voting*. Synthesis Lectures on Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. Morgan & Claypool Publishers, 2018.

[113] N. Mattei and T. Walsh. A PrefLib.Org Retrospective: Lessons Learned and New Directions. In U. Endriss, editor, *Trends in Computational Social Choice*, chapter 15, pages 289–309. AI Access Foundation, 2017.

[114] N. Mattei and T. Walsh. PrefLib: A library for preferences, http://www.preflib.org. In *3rd*, 2013.

[115] M. Regenwetter, M.-H. R. Ho, and I. Tsetlin. Sophisticated approval voting, ignorance priors, and plurality heuristics: A behavioral social choice analysis in a Thurstonian framework. *Psychological Review*, 114(4):994–1014, 2007.

[116] J. Zou, R. Meir, and D. Parkes. Strategic voting behavior in Doodle polls. In *Proc. of the 18th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*, pages 464–472, 2015.

[117] M. Tyszler and A. Schram. Information and strategic voting. *Experimental Economics*, 19(2):360–381, 2016.

[118] R. Fairstein, A. Lauz, R. Meir, and K. Gal. Modeling people's voting behavior with poll information. In *18th*, 2019.

[119] Gerd Gigerenzer and Wolfgang Gaissmaier. Heuristic decision making. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62:451–482, 2011.

[120] Jaelle Scheuerman, K. B. Venable, M.T. Anderson, and Edward J. Golob. Computational model of spatial auditory attention in act-r. In *Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 2018*, Madison, WI, July 2018.